Modelling the stomatal resistance under water comfort and restriction to assess the transpiration of a greenhouse New Guinea impatiens crop

Hacene Bouhoun Ali, Etienne E. Chantoiseau, Pierre-Emmanuel Bournet, Patrice Cannavo, Christophe C Migeon, Mathilde Sourgnès

► To cite this version:

Hacene Bouhoun Ali, Etienne E. Chantoiseau, Pierre-Emmanuel Bournet, Patrice Cannavo, Christophe C Migeon, et al.. Modelling the stomatal resistance under water comfort and restriction to assess the transpiration of a greenhouse New Guinea impatiens crop. *Acta Horticulturae*, 2017, 1170, pp.611 - 618. 10.17660/ActaHortic.2017.1170.76 . hal-01705933

HAL Id: hal-01705933

<https://institut-agro-rennes-angers.hal.science/hal-01705933>

Submitted on 7 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Modelling the Stomatal Resistance Under Water Comfort and Restriction to Assess the Transpiration of a Greenhouse New Guinea Impatiens Crop

H. Bouhoun Ali, E. Chantoiseau, P.E. Bournet, P. Cannavo, C. Migeon and M. Sourgnès
Agrocampus Ouest, UP EPHor Environmental Physics and Horticulture Research Unit,
F-49045 Angers,
France.

Keywords: soil-plant-atmosphere, pots, peat, matric potential, porometer, Penman Monteith, direct method

Abstract

In greenhouses, reducing water consumption by increasing water efficiency is of high interest. To reach this goal, predictive models of soil-plant-atmosphere water transfers may be used. Such models are however presently mainly developed for open field conditions and very few models exist for greenhouse plants grown in pots. Implementing these models requires an accurate estimate of the stomatal resistance R_s . The aim of this work is to implement and adapt the multiplicative Jarvis model (1976) to calculate R_s for greenhouse potted plants not only under water comfort but also under water restriction, through the introduction of a new multiplicative function depending on the growing media matric potential. The obtained model could then be tested to evaluate transpiration. To establish the model parameters, an experiment was conducted during sixteen weeks inside a greenhouse with ornamental plants grown in containers on shelves. Both water comfort and water restriction conditions were applied. The peat matric potential, radiation, temperature and humidity were continuously recorded while R_s was measured and transpiration was assessed with scales. Data collected on four weeks were used to fit the parameters of R_s depending on radiation and water pressure deficit under water comfort. The multiplicative matric potential function was then deduced from R_s measured on stressed plants. The model was validated against data and showed its ability to assess R_s both under comfort and restriction conditions. The developed model of R_s could therefore help assess transpiration under various irrigation regimes.

INTRODUCTION

At a time when ecological concerns are growing, a better management of water resources in the horticultural sector appears to be necessary to remain competitive. The control of irrigation requires an understanding of transpiration processes to adjust the amount of water available to plants to their transpiration. In this context, the development of models to predict water transfers in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum could help optimize water inputs.

Generally speaking, the estimation of transpiration is based on a representative model of the stomata behavior according to the climatic parameters (solar radiation, air temperature, vapor pressure deficit) and to the water availability in the substrate (Monteith, 1973; Gerosa et al., 2012). Stomata opening and closing can be assessed through the evolution of the stomatal resistance (R_s). According to Kaufmann (1982), in

the case of water comfort the major determinants of R_s are radiation, and vapor pressure deficit, while for water restriction cases the availability of water inside the soil intervenes in addition to these determinants. Modeling R_s for open field conditions was extensively undertaken over the past 50 years with the help of new sensors that made it possible the direct measurement of R_s , such as porometers and gas exchange analyzers (Damour et al., 2010). Almost all models for open field were deduced from two seminal works by Jarvis (1976) and Ball et al. (1987) but very few models exist for plant cultivated in container under greenhouse conditions (Casaroli et al., 2010). Moreover, most models found for R_s under such conditions focused on the soil-plant system, or the plant-atmosphere system and very few take into account real coupling between the three compartments. For those, adaptation to water restriction conditions was achieved by adding a function expressing the water status of the soil or plant as performed by Gang et al. (2012).

The aim of this work is to develop a model able to estimate R_s and then use this model to assess the transpiration. First, R_s was modeled under water comfort conditions using the multiplicative model of Jarvis for potted *Impatiens*. It was then adapted to water restriction conditions by adding a multiplicative function based on the matric potential of the substrate. Finally, the complete R_s models (for water comfort and restriction) were used in the Penman Monteith (PM) and Direct Method (DM) to estimate transpiration under comfort and water restriction conditions. Although PM model is the reference method to estimate evapotranspiration, the simpler DM (Morille et al., 2012) was also tested to assess its efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental setup

Experiments were conducted inside a 100 m² glasshouse compartment located in Angers (47° 28' N, 0° 33' E) in north-western France. Experiments were carried out during 16 weeks from 26th March to 20th July 2014 with *Impatiens* (*Novae-guinea*, cv. 'Sonic Scarlet') as plant model. Young *Impatiens* plants were potted in 0.74 L containers (8.7 cm height) filled in with fine peat with homogeneous peat bulk density (i.e. 0.12 g cm⁻³). Plants were equally distributed on four shelves with an initial density of 28 plants per m² (18 m² total area). During the experiment, the plant density was decreased to favor plant growth, but insuring that plants covered entirely the shelves (final density of 15 plants per m²). Plants were irrigated by periodically flooding with a nutrient solution, and flowers were regularly removed. For the purpose of the study irrigation was periodically stopped on one shelf until plants evidenced water stress visual signs. For the plants on the three other shelves, water-comfort conditions were applied with water potential in peat kept higher than -2 kPa. In order to avoid excessive temperatures, a shading screen was used and the roof vents were fully open as soon as external temperatures exceeded 20°C.

Experimental measurements

Water-comfort and water-restricted crop transpirations were measured by two scales (Melter-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland, ±0.1 g) located approximately at the center of the shelves (Fig. 1) and carrying several containers. The above canopy global radiation was measured by a radiometer (CNR1, Kipp & Zonen, Delft, The Netherlands, ±10 %). The air temperature (T_a , ±0.1 °C) and relative humidity (RH_a, ±2% HR) above the canopy were measured by shielded and ventilated sensors (Vaisala HMP45C, Campbell Scientific Ltd., Antony, France). The leaf temperatures were measured by

thermocouples at two levels. Peat matrix potential (Ψ , kPa) was measured at the middle height of ten containers with tensiometers (SDEC 1300, France). Among them, four were placed in the water-comfort shelf and the six others in the water-restricted shelf. All measurements were performed every 3 seconds, averaged over 10 minute periods and recorded by a data logger system (CR5000, Campbell Scientific Ltd., Antony, France).

Stomatal resistances were measured on leaves of the upper part of the canopy (or sunlit leaves) as well as on leaves of the bottom part (or shaded leaves) with a porometer (AP4, Delta-T Device, United Kingdom). The accuracy of this instrument is $\pm 20 \text{ s m}^{-1}$ for R_s in the range $[20-40 \text{ s m}^{-1}]$ and $\pm 10\%$ for R_s in the range $[50-4000 \text{ s m}^{-1}]$. Five measurements were done for each sunlit and shaded leaves of different plants for each water condition. The measurements of R_s were replicated every half an hour from 8:00 am to 8:00 pm for weeks 10, 12, 14, 16 and from 5:30 am to 23:00 pm for week 11 after plantation

MODELLING METHODOLOGY

Stomatal resistance

According to Jarvis (1976), R_s can be expressed using a multiplicative model (Eq. 1):

$$R_s = R_{s,\min} \cdot f_1(R_g) \cdot f_2(VPD_a) \cdot f_3(\Psi) \quad (1)$$

where $R_{s,\min}$ is the minimal measured stomatal resistance, R_g (W m^{-2}) is the global radiation measured above the canopy, Ψ (kPa), the substrate water potential and, VPD_a (Pa), the vapor pressure deficit. VPD_a is deduced from measurements of humidity and temperature above the canopy. The influence of these different factors may be quantified through the identification of three functions $f_1(R_g)$, $f_2(VPD_a)$ and $f_3(\Psi)$.

For function f_1 Baille, (1994a) recommended the use of Eq. 2, while Boulard et al. (1991) recommended Eq. 3 for f_2 , and Nikolov et al. (1995) Eq. 4 for f_3 :

$$f_1(R_g) = \frac{a_1 + R_g}{b_1 + R_g} \quad (2)$$

$$f_2(VPD) = 1 + a_2 \exp(b_2 (VPD - VPD_0)) \quad (3)$$

$$f_3(\Psi) = 1 + \left(\frac{\Psi}{\Psi_1}\right)^{a_3} \quad (4)$$

where VPD_0 is the vapor pressure deficit for which R_s is minimal. Ψ_1 is the water potential for which R_s under water restriction is twice the stomatal resistance under water comfort. a_i and b_i and Ψ_1 are parameters to be determined, using linear regressions for instance.

Transpiration

The models usually implemented to estimate transpiration in the case of hypostomatic plants are the Penman-Monteith (PM) and the so-called Direct Method (DM). The PM has been widely used and proved its accuracy for both hydric water comfort and restriction conditions (Caspari et al. 1993). The DM was also tested as it is easier than PM to implement because of its lower number of parameters. One of its drawbacks however, is that it requires the knowledge of the leaf temperature.

1. Direct Method model. According to the DM approach, the transpiration may be expressed as a function of the leaf-to-air vapor pressure deficit (Eq.5)

$$E = \frac{\rho_a \cdot LAI \cdot C_{pa}}{\gamma} \frac{P_{vsat,l} - P_{v,a}}{r_a + r_c} \quad (5)$$

where E is the canopy transpiration rate (W m^{-2}), ρ_a is the air density (kg m^{-3}), C_{pa} is the specific heat of air ($\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$), γ is the psychrometric constant, r_a and r_c are the leaf aerodynamic resistance and the canopy stomatal resistances (s m^{-1}), respectively. LAI is the Leaf Area Index ($\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$), P_{vsat-l} is the vapor pressure of the air at saturation in the stomata chamber (Pa) and P_{v-a} is the water vapor pressure of the air (Pa).

2. Penman-Monteith model. The Penman-Monteith model is derived from the combination of the energy balance equation and the latent/sensible heat flux expressions (Eq. 6):

$$E = \frac{\Delta R_n + 2 \rho_a LAI C_{pa} VPD_a / r_a}{\Delta + 2 \gamma \left(1 + \frac{r_c}{r_a}\right)} \quad (6)$$

where R_n is the net radiation flux absorbed by the crop (W m^{-2}). It is systematically assumed to be equal to the net radiation measured above the crop, thus neglecting the radiation exchanges below the canopy and to the ground. VPD_a is the vapor pressure deficit (Pa) and Δ is the slope of the saturated water vapor pressure curve according to temperature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fit of R_s models

The parameters of functions f_1 and f_2 , (Eq. 2-5) were fitted on the values recorded on the 10th, 11th, 14th and 16th weeks of experiments after transplanting for well-irrigated plants. Once the model was established under water comfort, it was adapted to water restriction cases by fitting the parameters of function f_3 (Eq. 5) on measurements of weeks 10, 11, 14 and 16 undertaken for water restricted plants. The general model of R_s obtained under both hydric conditions is given by Eq. 7.

$$R_s = 42 \left(\frac{R_g + 148.9}{R_g + 11.71} \right) \left(1 + 0.47 \exp(0.64 (VPD - 1.84)) \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{\psi}{-11.41} \right)^{1.05} \right) \quad (7)$$

From results concerning f_3 (Fig. 2) it can be seen that the agreement between calculated and measured values is quite low with $r^2 = 0.47$. It should be noted that most of the error is due to the large values of the stomatal resistance ratio (mainly recorded at night) and the f_3 curve. Indeed, R_s depends not on the soil water potential but on the leaf water potential (Jarvis, 1976). In the present case, the soil water potential was used because technically it was easier to assess than the leaf water potential. In general, both potentials vary in the same manner all day long, but during summer days the plants cannot absorb water from the substrate fast enough to balance their transpiration. The leaf water potential thus decreases rapidly and the proportionality with soil potential is lost (Tuzet et al., 2003). Such conditions lead to a closure of the stomata that is not taken into account by the present model (Eq. 7).

The R_s model was validated against data collected for week 12 under comfort (Fig. 3A) and water restriction (Fig. 3B). It was applied for the sunlit leaves and showed its ability to simulate R_s under water comfort conditions with $r^2 = 0.74$ and $\text{RMSE} = 419 \text{ s m}^{-1}$. During most of the day, the agreement between measured and calculated data was quite good, but the model failed to depict the sharp increase of R_s in the evening. This increase was due to the closure of the stomata when the unbalance between water transpiration and absorption led to a decrease of the leaf water potential. As R_s was used to estimate the transpiration, which in such conditions was limited, the

Figures

Fig. 1. Experimental device.

Fig. 2. Fitted function of stress $f_3(\Psi)$.

Fig. 3. Measured and calculated R_s for plants under comfort (A) and water restriction (B) conditions 12 weeks after plantation.

Fig. 4. Measured and calculated transpiration for plants under water comfort conditions 12 weeks after plantation.

Fig. 5. Measured and calculated transpiration for plants under water restriction conditions 12 weeks after plantation.